- 1 7 -

HEEL 9 TAPE)

MACK COD, ROSSI HVEN

### EXVAREID:

My. Dullos, do I take it, that you think so-called crooping Socialism in a democratic excisty is not a read to Communism, itself?

### DULLES:

Bris, it's rather important, I think, to define our terms. The Seviet have befuddled this whole area by, at times, talking of their regime as a socialistic regime. In the terms in which we know it, of course, that ign't true, because there are Socialist regimes in the world which are very anti-communist, have nothing whatever to do with communism, and I gather when you speak of creeping Socialism, you have in mind the slow take-over by government of more and more of the economy of the countries. Of course communists have taken over the whole economy of the country, to start with, and people's freedoms with it, which has not happened in Socialist regimes, to speak of. I would think that that was the road that the communists, themselves, would choose to take over the country. I would feel that looking back on the historical side of it, that what they like to do in countries that have democratic · parliamentary regimes, they try to infiltrate those regimes by building up representation, taking advantage

- 2 F -

#### DULLES CONTINUED:

members in the parliaments, then get into the cabinet, then get more roles in the cabinet, and then take Clickly over in that way. That was the observation that was followed, and there are other accustries in the world, I'd rather maybe not mention too many of them, but there are other countries in the world where they are trying that same techniques. Now, in countries — well, maybe you want to comment on that, Eric, I don't know.

### SKVAREID:

No - go abead.

#### DULLES:

There are other countries which do not have, cannot afford at this time, from the point of view of their developments, sophisticated what I might call parliamentary regimes. There are other countries where they use more direct techniques - that would be true in Africa, true in Southeast Asia, and other manufacturalizations are suppressed.

countries. There, whit they try to do is to create an underground, a disaffected element, which will then permit them, as in Lace, as in Southern Viet Nam, as in other countries, to penetrate directly, not

LACE COD, ROSSI EVEN

## DULLES CONTINUED:

wars of liberation, which we've discussed before.

And there are some countries that are on those countries which are on the close berders of the Soviet, are of course, in the most dangerous positions, such as Afghanistan, Iran, Finland, has been going through some very difficult times lately, and may have more difficult times shoud.

### SEVARISOD:

Mr. Dulles, many Europeans, iscluding Mr.

Jean Monnet, think that Americans, and perhaps
this government, are much too obsessed with
communism and Russia, as they put it. Do you
think we are? Are we nearotic on this subject?

DULLES:

I think that is true, in a sense, and not true in another sense. I think that the one grave peril that we face is the communist peril. That is the only peril to our freedoms, to our institutions, to everything that we hold dear. Now, I think that it is true that the communists practice on us and on other countries towards which they're directing their attack, they try on us what I would call the overload theory. They will start a lot of petty annoyances in various parts of the world, without knewing whether

LACK ODD, ROSEL KVEN

KRIII.

### DULLEG CONTINUED:

they are going to seriously push them ahead, is order to divert our strenties, maybe, from the major points of their attack.

#### SEVAREID:

How does the average distinguish between a frivolous Russian Putach and a serious one?

#### DULLES:

Well, I think you could add — how does the government, itself, distinguish this. In addition to the ordinary citizen. To begin with, you can't always tell, and you can't take a minor threat as necessary inconsequential. What's the Bible — the Bible — is it the Bible: "The cloud, the size of a man's hand." Tun't it? "Beccase pretty serious — becomes a great store."

#### : CISHAVUE

Could you give any concrete examples of what has turned out to be minor or major?

#### DULLES:

Well, I think we overrated the Soviet danger, let's say, in the Congo. They went in there with farfave. They supported Gironga. They established a Lumurte Institute in Moscov, and it looked as though they were going to make a serious attempt at take-over in the Selgian Congo. Well, it didn't work out

SCHOOL OUD, ROSAL NVKN

### DULLES CONTINUED:

that way at all. Now, maybe they intended to do it, but they didn't find the situation right, and they beat a pretty hasty retreat. You recall, when they closed their Establishment there, the Csechs closed their Consulate, Esbassy I guess it was, and they pulled out as fast as they could, and they scattled. If we ever scuttled from a country in the way they had, the radio, the prens, and the television would be full of it. That was kind of put under the rug. It did appear in the prens. Now, I would say that is an instance where they started something and then decided it didn't work out.

#### SEVAREID:

Now about Viet Nem? Is this a serious, final kind of Pursch-push on their part?

### DULLES:

Southerst Asia and view that as a potential major asset of the communist world if they could take it over. The great riches of Indonesia, and if you lose South Viet Nam, the problem of helding the rest of that peninsula is Southeast Asia, and the great island chain, is gravely imperiled, and therefore, I consider South Viet Nam a major importance, and not just one of the everload theory type of operations.

Approved For Release 2003/12/02 : CIA-RDP70-00058R000100150020-2

#### SEVAREID:

Do you think that area is more important to them than India?

### DULLES:

Well. I don't think that they have any plan or project at the moment to take over ladia. There's quite a strong Communist Party, strong not in voting strongth, but strong in organization in India. But I think that they are wise enough to realize that if they could do it, and I think they'd find it vary difficult to do, but if they should take over India, just think what would they do with the four bandred million-odd people that they have --- more than four hundred million people are there. They would assume responsibility for fooding them, and agriculture has proved their very weakest point. And I doubt whether the communist world, either Chinese or Soviet, would like to take over the respectability of feeding and caring for the four hundred million people of India. But as I say, they think that Nehru is very watchful of this, and I do not believe there's any plan or project one sees there. True, the Chinese Communists have been rather active around the frontiers of India. They've crossed the line of demarcation, the frontier lines of India in the North, and have caused great annoyance. They have not pushed their products.

MACH COO, MOSEL RYEN

#### EXVAREID:

Er. Dulles, when you and others talk about a Russian wish to dominate the world, what do you mean in terms of Russian mational power; in terms of communism everywhere; their system everywhere, or what?

#### DULLEN:

Well, I think that Khrushohev, im his recent # speeches, has outlined pretty well what is in his mind, and after all, what is is his mind is likely to become policy in the Soviet Union. He made a speech some time back, in which he outlined the period within which they would catch up with the United States, a period im which they would supposedly start their combunist state. They have several facets to their policy. They believe, and some of them believe it fanatically, that communism is the wave of the future, is the form of economic life that is best adapted to push a country forward. They believe that communism will replace capitalism, and capitalism replaced feudalism, and that as Khrushchev said, our grandchildren or our great-grandchildren would live under communism and like it. They believe, therefore, and when I say I mean the fanatical leaders of the communist regime, the mombers of presidium and the top echelons of the communist world,

Approved For Release 2003/12/02 : CIA-RDP70-00058R000100150020-2

#### DULLES CONTINUED:

they believe that inevitably, through economic pressures, and the like, more and more countries will adopt communism. But they also believe that governments don't generally fall. They -- you know you get along better if you put push them.

### SEVAREID:

You could they dominate the world in which this country, with all its power, existed?

#### DULLES:

Well, they would believe, too, if they could take over enough of the rest of the world, then they could encircle us, and then, eventually, we would have to either adopt their system, or face an eventual war possibly. They don't believe that the United States is going communist in the next decade or two, anything of that kind, obviously. I do not believe that was; a hot war, a nuclear war, at any given time in the future, is a part of their plans. It is economic progress on their part, economic growth in other countries of the world, and take over through economic power, and in parts of the world, the use of the techniques of wars of liberatica. (BUZZER). I think they feel that there is a strong tide is the world, today, to get the rascals out. I mean, that latin America, no matter what the government is, there are a lot of disaffected people,

MACH CIM, ROSSI RYEN

### DALTHE COLLINGED

and where you do not have, as you do not have in many countries of the world, mort of organized party system, all of the disaffected tend to gather togother under the communist banner, or led by the communists, because they're the best organized to lead a movement, get the rescals out. Now, maybe in some cases, they are rescals. In a good many cases, they're not rascals. They are just in and have power, and from the communist point of view, become the rancals.

They will use these techniques.

SEVAREID:

Mr. Delles ----

#### DULLES:

Economic, industrial penetration, wars of liberation. SEVARRID:

But to what ---

#### DULLES:

Use of Communist Parties; use of their front organization of which they have a great many.

### SEVAREID:

But they already seen to have too much on their plate. They can't control or direct communist states such as Yagoslavii, Albania, or China, pluralistic communism might, a great deal of it, be anti-Moscow. Hight it not?

Mack odo, Rossi ever

#### DULLES:

That's quite true, but difficulties at home have rarely stopped countries in foreign adventure, some times has pushed thou on. I believe that the splits, the fishures, the differences between various communist! countries, is today one of the greatest pre-occupations that Ebrushchev has. After all, he said what he calls the Socialist world, the world becames all Socialist, they'll all live -- lie down in peace, and live together. Well, that is what's happened, and when you see little Albania, practically throwing out the Russian representation there, both civil and military, that is really scenething. Why they went, hers to tell, obviously, at that time they felt that they would have to use force to stay, and they didn't want another Bugary on their hands at that mount. Hungary - in a country which was not contiguous to their own. But anyway, they got out. The Chinese thing is, obviously, very serious. Togoslavia, back to 1948, and there was a difference in Yugoslavia that may be you even might see - most experts missed. It was really the Soviet that threw Yugoslavia out. They took the initiative, or they wrote such brutal notes to Tito that a man of any self-respect wouldn't take them. In these other cases, the imitiative to move out, to move towards more independence and freedom,

## DOLLES CONTINUED:

communist. China was, abviously, in a sense that the — Ehrushahev's visit to the United States, Ehrushahev's making a protest to the United States, the doctrine of do-existence, and the like, and it was they, I believe, although the experts differ on this, I rather believe it was they that did the acts that resulted in the withdrawal of the Russian technicians. Now, it may be due, first, to troubles started by the communists, and then the Russians said: "Well, if you don't change this, we'll take them out," and then they went out.

#### SEVAREID:

But in may case, Mr. Dulles, we're not likely to see a world run from one central switchboard, whether in Moscow or Peping, or Washington, or anywhere else. Are we?

#### DULLES:

(LARGHTER). No, I don't -- I don't think anything like that, because I think we're going to win this. I think if we stay united, we're going to win this. I'm not a -- I'm not a pessimist. (BUZZER). For the last ten years, I think, beginning with the Truman doctrine, now I want to pay a tribute to what Truman did in Greece and Eorea to stop the communists.

Designation of the second

ACK ODD. ROSSI XVIX

#### DULLES CONTINUED:

11-36-16

Reginning them and working through the Eisenhouer administration, and what President Eisenhouer did, what my brother did, and all those around him, to hold the line, and what President Kennedy is doing teday, I think if we can keep the free world united, I don't — I don't really worry as to the ultimate outcome. The only thing I worry about is, are we going to be adequately united in this? I think I mentioned before, that what did my generation see happening. Saw the Vestorn world tear itself to pieces in two fratricidal wars and build up communian. How, we're going to do more of that. They're going to win. If we're going to stand together, they're going to lose.

#### SIVARRID:

When you say "we're going to win" this struggle with Russia, how far do you take that -- to the collapse of the communist system itside Russia, or merely the protection of areas vital to us, in the gradual withdrawal of this thing?

#### DULLES:

Well, I take it to be a gradual evolution. I don't look forward to revolution in the — in the communist world. A new generation is coming on in Russia. In the old days, before I — not so long ago, before I left the Agency, and I don't think I'm betraying

Approved For Release 2003/12/02 : CIA-RDP70-00058R000100150020-2

### DULLES CONTINUED:

reports of the unrest among the — smang the youth.

Now, I don't may that they weren't leyel to the government. The government, because of what it's done, the regime and Khrushchev, has a great hold on the people, but is certain fields, in the field of literature, in the field of arty. I heard the other day that their little private bootles showings of modern pieces of modern art, that a group will get together, and one or two of them will make modern painting that are not really allowed - they're not approved - they're not liked by the regime.

#### SEVAREID

But what does that signify in terms of changing their system, or their thrust overseas by its various means?

#### DULLEA:

Well, I believe that if you could get a regime that was responsive to the Eusaian people, then you might have an entire change in the outlook and attitude of Ecusia towards the rest of the world. And in my --- writings and in my talks that I give, I would distinguish between Eusaia and the Eusaian people, and the Soviet and the Communicat leaders. I think that is the difference.

### ERVIREID:

That (?) What was the church militant with communium becoming the established church. Isn't it? DULLES:

That's right.

### SEVAREID:

Hr. Dulles, when Ebresholmy was here, did you get a chauce to talk with me him at all?

#### DULLES:

You. I didn't have a long talk with him, but I had a very, quite amusing encounter with him. It took place at -- at the dinmer that President Eisenhover gave for Khrushchev and his partiag, and it was at the Thito House, and as the guest's there it was quite a large dinner, around a hundred, I should think -something of that kind. And we want -- we were prosented to the guest of honor, and we went along in line, and Clover was with me, and the President was here, and right next to him was Khrushchev, and then Ers. Cisenbower and Madame Kushavaya, and President introduced as and maid, "This is Hr. Dulley. You may know Mr. Eullas. " Ye turned to his reporters with kind of a trinkle in his eye, because he's got a cate -- you must neut he's got a good sense of humor, and he said, 'Oh, yes, I know you. I read your reports." Well, yes, I didn't -- as you go along

MANY WIL, ROBSI EVEN

## DULLES CONTINUES:

the line, you can't stop and argue with a fellow, whother he's the head of a Russian state, or whoever it may be. So, I just went on, because that's no time for a conversation, because you hold up all the rest of the line. After dinner, we were in the room where the men gathered there, ment to the dining room, in the White Mouse, and we were having coffee and cigars, and we were standing around, and Dick Kiron -- Vice-President Fixon came up to me and said: "Maye you talked with Ehrushchev?" And I said, "Sell, I just talked to him as I went by the line." He said, "Oh, come on up." Well, I said, "No, I don't want to butt in there." There were a lot of Senatorial leaders, the Senate and the House were around him, and so forth and so on. Be said, "Da, como along." So he took me up and he said, "Prime Mimister, have you met Kr. Dulles?" "Oh yes, I've met him," he said to his interpreter, according to the interpreter, "As I told him, I read his reports." And well then, I had a chance to suspar, and I said, "I hope you get them legally." And, "Ch, he said, "you know, you know how we get them. Ye all pay the came agento and we all get the same reports. "Well," I maid, "that's kind of sharing the waslth, ign't it?" And then we had a laugh and that ended it.

Approved For Release 2003/12/02 : CIA PDP70-00058R000100150020-2

KACK CDD, ROSSI EVAN

# DULLES CONTINUED:

Wall, that's about what took place. I've got a memorandum in the office. I don't know exactly the wording, but that's about the way it ...

SEVAREID:

Did he mean your public or your private reports? DULLES:

Ah, he never told me.

SEVEREID:

BUT he was ...

## DULLES:

I think he had in mind that he read agents' reports. SEVAREID:

Do you think it's pessible that he has?

## DULLES:

Every cace in a while semebody gets caught, but that game goes both ways.

SEVARKID:

Wes that the end of the conversation?

### DULLES:

That was the end of the conservation and the last convergation. One of the -- a man who doubled in the very -- one of the very able newsmen who doubled in another capacity and then heard the conversation, and I was quite amused. I didn't even tell my wife this, which I should have done, and the mext morning, MACK CUD, ROSSI HVIN

Date .

## DULLES CONTINUED:

on the radio. It was pretty good. It wasn't your man that overheard it though - it was somebody elso.

(LAUGHTER). But it was no reason - it was a harmless story and a good story.

## SEVARXID:

Are you giving the impression that the White Nouse and the CIAS are very insecure?

### DULLES:

Yeat I said to him -- there were half a dozen people that heard what I said to him.

### SHVARKID:

Mr. Dulles, in view of what you've said about -- your optimism about the future in this world conflict ...

#### DULLES:

A conditioned optimism is conditioned on what we do. Eric, I want to always bring that out.

### : CIMEAVES

Well, why then are people like Senator Goldwater criticized when they say that we ought to state, as a matter of national policy, that we are going to win this Cold War?

#### DULLES:

Well, hasn't the President said that? Hasn't Risenhover said that? Hasn't Truman said that? MACK ODD, ROSSI LV LA

### DULLES ENNERINGCONTINGED:

That the policy, irrespective of party --party affiliations? It always was.

### SEVARBID:

I don't think it's been so phrased in recent months or years, anyway.

### DULLES:

I dom't think there ought to be any doubt on that

question. If you start out with a doubt on that question, you've lost the war before you begin it.

### SEVARRID:

Webl, wouldn't it help if there were a plain, flat assurance to people, that we are going to come cut on top in this?

### DCLLES:

Yell, I never had any doubt that that was the policy of the three recent administrations under which I have served.

#### SEVAREID:

Do you think that Mr. Khrushchev is in a hurry to accomplish a great deal in his lifetime?

### DULLES:

No, I don't think he's in a hurry in a sense that, let's say, Hitler was. You recall those reports that were found after the war, of his talks with his leaders and his generals, just before MACK ODD, ROSSI KYDS

### DULLES;

he went into war and attacked 22m Peland, and when he looked around the world and new what the obstacles were, and then said, "I'm fifty,"/words to this effect - "I've get to accomplish these objectives in my lifetime. I can't wait. I must do it now. I must do it now when I have all my vigor," so forth and so on, and "I must get this accomplished." I don't remember his exact words, but it's in the EMBERELY record. Ehrushchev has never indicated that he was a man with that kind of a timetable. In fact, again I mention this timetable - he sets himself tem years to reach our level and twenty years to get communism really going, and he must realize that he, himself, is not going to live that long, it would seem most unlikely. One could speculate how many years of power he has. Karushchev's I think, sixty-eight. Be's led a very tough life, so they must realize that he has a limited number of weers in which he can continue to exercise the power he's now exercising. So I would think that what Thrusbohev, and this is assuming that there is no tracedy in the meantime, has nothing that sets off a muclear war, and I don't see anything of that kind around the corner, I would assess that Khrushchev

## DULLES CONTINUES:

would hope that in the next five years, or during his period of power, would have greatly marrowed the gap in economic, industrial production vis-a-vis the United States. Under his timetable, he won't have caught us, but he could marrow that gap. I think he might expect that he would have made considerable progress in other countries, a few specified countries. Now, undoubtedly, he looks at countries such as those in Southeast Asia, I've mentioned, Lass and Southern Viet Nam, pressures are on in Afghanistan and Finland, and a few other countries. I think he would hope to leave a --- a broadened cushion around the Soviet homeland, plus an assured economy and a continuous growing econemy. I ozn't see what more he could hope for in his lifetime, but that's crystalgazing again.

## SEVARETD .

Aren't his aims in a situation like the Berlin Germany probles — are they of limited nature for the national security of Russia and its boundaries? Are they unlimited?

#### DVLLES:

his
I think that #f\territorial -- his immediate
territorial sims are of yelimited character.

+ 216 +

RECK

MAKE ODD, ROBBY STEN

## DULLES CONTINUES

I think that the goal that he set, the ultimate goal for the Russian—for the Sevice—for the U. S. S. F that he hopes to leave, that the ultimate goal of the U. S. S. H. are unlimited, but he doesn't expect, I wouldn't think, to reach these limitless goals in his lifetime.

### SEVARKID:

What does he want in Berlint He wants us out of therdoes het (BUZZER.)

### DULLES:

Yes, he wants us out of there. We're a great nuisance. It's a-I may we—the west Berliners are a great nuisance. They're right there is the middle of this area that he already disaffected as far as communism concerned—the pupper regime of Whyleht and he want us out of there and further, following along on this "overload" theory, here is the area he can put on row overload than on inywheres else. We're extended out there at the end of a long line. They have the question of maintain

- 221 -

REEL

MACK ODD, ROSSI EVEN

### DELLES CONFINGING!

forces in Germany, forces in Berlin and by keeping the German issue hot, he can put more pressure on us at less cost to himself than almost anywheres else in the world.

### SEVAREID:

But he---you don't feel that he's willing to settle there simply for our recognition of East Germany and so on and isn't all of Germany in his mind and the direction German future policy takes on his mind?

### DULLES:

I don't think he wants to settle or it is worth while for him to settle from his point of view unless he can get a complete victory. I think he gets more mileage of the set this as a constant point of attack than he would have out of any---what might seem a compromise settlement, if there is one. I don't know that there is one.

### SEVAREDI

But you don't think we should take any steps toward making compresses, or concessions?

- 231 -

MENL

MACH OND, BOSSI BYEN

## DULLES:

I don't. No. I think this is an issue on which ourwhere our rights are clear. Our responsibilities are clear. I think we ought to stand on our position.

SEVAREID:

But then it means constant needling from Khrushchev, doesn't 157

DULLES:

That's right.

SEVARKED:

And constant dangers?

DULLES:

That's right and maybe that goes back a little bit to what John Monnet said. "We must just expect these, beat them, but not go into any kind of a tailspin, or get terrible excited when we have the kind of irritational what we must expect as long as we are there. You don't have any serious (EUZZER) fears of a big war over Berlin, them?

# DULLES!

Well, I don't feel that the Soviet Baion wants a hig

- 249 -

RIKI.

MACH OLD, ROSSI HVIS

## DENLIES CONTINUING

position, with so gravely endangered that it saw no other way out and I don't think that's the case in Ber-

## SEVAREID:

Mould there be great danger of war, if Massian pours in East Except particularly, began to Massian pours in mande—movement against them are getting atronger in Mast Germany and Hungary, Czechoslovakia and so on? What would they then do?

### DILLER:

You mean if-if the free world began to succeed at a repid page?

SEVAREUD:

Yes---

### DULLES:

execution is that maybe word better not succeed too fast (Langither.)

- 251 -

MERL

MACK CED, ROSSI EVEN

## SEVARREDI

Well, I just wondered what---what the reaction would be Dulles:

thought wouldn't put ideas in our mind that we should slacken in any way to border—to increase the border freedom. As I may, looking sheed. I would hope that any such successes would be consentent with this evolution in the Boviet Union, so they would be visued a successes directed against the vital position of Mose we don't want—the United States—none of the free countries wants to do anything that would affect the frontiers—the position of Russia as it has existed ever the years.

### SEVAREID:

But we used to talk about liberation, or containment the general way. Now we don't talk about liberation more. We talk about containment or some people say "piecemeal compromises," which may be surrender. No. they really won that argument in effect?

RET

MACK ODD, ROSSK EVEN

### DULLES:

Well, I hope that we haven's fully seepted the—the theory merely of containment. I'm a great friend of George (Kennant)'s. I've just he reading his admirabed book on the Soviet under Lenin and Scalin and so forth.

I—I don't think one should admir thy such static policy, but obviously faced with a sategonist like the Soviet Union, that's pushing her, there and the other place and you have to practice at a given point and in given areas the tactics of convenient, without necessarily adopting the over-all besis that contained of Russia with its continued declarate in the European satellites, in North Vietnam, tepther with communist China and in other areas, is accepted.

## SEVARETD:

A great many people in this country are impatient because they say we ought to get on the initiative or on the offensive in this cold war. Can this be done and if so, how?

#### DULLES:

If I could answer that, I would deserve the Nobel Peac

- 27t -

REIC.

MACK ODD, ROSUI EVEN

## DULLES CONTINUING:

Prize (laughter). I don't know I think that in the field of ideas, there is a good deal that can be done and I believe that your old colleague Mi Murrow is doing an admirable job where he now is as head of U. S. I. A. and is quick to explore these avenues. Feel that every thing we can do to let the Soviet people know what the United States is like --- what the free countries are like, is of vital importance. I wish there could be more Russian students over here, more of the youth over here and give them complete freedom to go anywhere except inside an atomic installation and one or two different areas. We have only created these so-called restricted aroan because the soviet has. I assume that we'd be glad to get rid of them if the Bowlet would do the same. There's no indication that they will, but I believe that a huser being inherently strives for free dom. I think that's the whole history of civilisation and the Soviet people are going to strive for freedom. They are not satisfied now, They're not satisfied because they can't paint as they want, because they can't

- 28f -

M. F. H.L.

MACH OND, ROSSI KVIDI

## DULLES CONTINUING

create music as they want, becames they can't read as they want, because they can't know about history as they want and they're beginning to realize now that the con't know these things and that they can't do these things. Ever the period of time, the more we can do to see that Russia is opened up to the world--- the more wo can do along that line, the better it's going to be. Now, it's not casy, because therine sensitive to that. The Soviet is sensitive to that. Wer aren't your television programs allowed in the Soviet Union? Why don't our great drillies get sold in the Seviet Union? There are numbers of the edition of "America" that are printe under U. S. I. A. that are printed under this exchange program. As far an I understand, they are bought up for as repidly and passed all around and they could sell to times as many.

#### SEVARKID:

Well, Mr. Dulles, even on the question of imperialism the world, the communist bloc seems to have a constant initiative and always be on the effective on this, yet REEL

MACK (UDD, ROSSI EVEN

### SEVANIED CONTINUING:

theirs is a greater imperialism by far now remaining.

Why can't we take a propaganda offensive, at least on
that point, in the U. N., for example?

### DULLES:

wade to do that and in this whole propaganda area, you must remember that they have complete freedom to immedate the free world with all of their propaganda, when by and large, the information that we send to the combine of the propaganda, when the dark, or by other means, is—is mostly factor respectly free area in which to exerct and we have to operate into a closed area.

#### SEVAREID:

But in neutral and smaller countries, we have as much right to operate on this question.

#### DULLES:

That is correct, but do you think in-take in Emroper countries--- I don't think on this issue--- they make y much headway, because they know of the Soviet imperia

- 705 -

S. S.M.

MACK OND, ROSSI EVEN

## DULLES CONTENUE

in the satellite areas and the Meviet imperialism has exercised over their own ethnic groups. Now, I grant you, when you get to Africa and Moutheast Asia, that story is not known and I think there are a great many countries where it ought to be said, more than it is.

## SEVARRID:

Mr. Dulles, what do the Russians want in terms of Cubliow do they look at Cubat Just as a base to distract us, or what?

#### PULLES:

No. I think they find in Cuba an extraordinary, useful base---woll, her we say, they did find it (insudible) extraordinary useful base from which to penetrate wit personnel and with ideas and programs, the other countries of Couth America. I use the word "did" pur because I think that what is happening in Cuba is seen of blotting their copy book a seed bit. In the past they have had areas from which—in the continent, full which they have operated and they did have, for a time quite a large catablishment in Saha itself, because to

- 31f -

TEL

ack ond, rossi even

## DELLES CONTINUING:

American countries where they have embassies and where they have travel agencies and sultural agencies and so forth. Cuba new is by far the best. One of the interesting things that happens to Cuba is they can use Cuba as a base for sending people to any part of the Soviet Union for training without anybody knowing about it, because you see, they go en a trip to Cuba and then they get on a Soviet plane, or Greek plane, and then they dishppear.

### SEVARIED:

Is this Latin American---

#### DULLEE:

Americans, yes—Latin Americans. Students, technicians, pilots to be trained in the use of jet aircraft. So fact that has been restricted mostly to Cubans, but a good many others and it would go, I believe into many bundred of other eventual agents for use in Latin America, have been secretly exciltrated from Latin American countries

- 321 -

BEEL

MACK OND, ROSSI EVEN

### DULLES CONTINUING:

to Cuba. They go perfectly openly to Cuba and them off they go and nobody knows. There's no visa record in an out and they disappear behind the iron curtain, get their train/\*/ and then come back the same way and that is just one of the techniques they can use in latin America.

#### SEVAREID:

I had been told that after the Spanish War, the Russian took a great many young Spanish people—children, rais them in Russia—that many of them are now showing up i Latin America, speaking Spanish of course, Russian—trained, sping up with Cuban passports. Have you any evidence of this?

#### DULLES:

I hadn't heard of that particular technique, but it is extremely likely. They did take a great marker of Spaniard when they went back after the Spaniah Civil War and some of them they've sent back to----to Spain, but it would be most likely---I think your story is most likely, because they would have had available other

- 335 -

HEIL

MACK COO, ROSSI EVEN

### DULLES CONFINUING:

to send via Cuba to Latin America and the road that I have traced out of Latin America through Cuba the communist world obviously is the two-way street and you can send people from the Soviet Union into Cuba and thence into Latin America without arousing any great publicity or knowledge of where they come from

### SEVARRID:

Mr. Dulles, you're no longer in the government and perhaps can speak a little more freely. What do you think we ought to do about Cuba now, if anything?

### DULLES:

I was brought up so long, you know, as being in government, in the days where I always said when asked a question of thins kind, "This is a policy question. Oc to the Secretary of State or the President on that." I don't know that I can add out of any particular knowledg that I have——any worthwhile suggestions on action to be taken with regard to Cuba. I think that the economic sanction has probably aggregated this situation within Cuba, but economic sanctions are very, very slow. You

7.50%

MACH ODD, ROSSI EVEN

# DULLES COMPINED

may recall in--it wasn't a case of economic sanctions, but was a case of economic problems, when---back in Ira in the days when Mossadegh was in and when \_\_\_\_\_ oil revenues --- he cancelled out the eil concession and oil revenues stopped for quite a long while. Well, a lot of people said, "Oh, Iran can't stand that. They can't get along." Well, they did get along under Mossadegh. Eventually 1t helped to result in Mossadegh withdrawal. I think probably these economic sanctions might help to bring about a change in Cuba, but I would rather leave this to those who have more immediate information on the subject than I and maybe I wouldn't be considered the wisest person to give advice on Cuba, I don't know about that. Some people at least night think that, so I think I'll heep off the subject.

## SEVAREID:

Well, Mr. Dulles, if you can, would you tell me, in regard (BUZZER) to the Cuban affair last spring where you think the central mistake was.

REM.

MACK ODD, ROSSI EVEN

### DULLES:

Bric, I've consistently taken the position that I would not discuss the Cuban operation. The President has said that he assumed responsibility for the action taken. That was his own initiative. In matters of that kind, where they wish the director of the Central Intelligence Agency to assume responsibility, he's always propared to do it and I have never discussed the Cuban operation, I think I'd rather limit my remerks to pointing out that there were certain factors which bere upon the timing of any operation if it was earwied out, namely, that we knew that Cuban pilots were being trained in Crechoslovakia, that KIG's were in crates in large numbers in Cuba and that if these trained pilots got back and these MIG's became operative, the possibility of any invasion by a Cuban force, or even by an American force, would be greatly --- the dangers and the problems would be greatly increased. That was merely a question of timing, but I have never discussed the operation itself and I think problably it's wiser for me to maintain that position, even as a private citizen. I had to meet

- 36c -

HERL

HACK OND, ROSSI EVEN

# DULLES CONTINUE

and I think no man ought to go into government, or go our of povernment without expecting to be the subject of attacks, rome of which are unjust, but that's the thing years just got to take. Otherwise, you'd better stay in private business, or you may get different kinds of attacks.

## SEYAREID:

Well, people who try to form judgments, newspaper people, radio people, T. V. people, generally—they cannot form it very well without come answer to some of the charges that have been made. Now, there is one point on the Cuba affair where I would think and hope at least that you could make response as an individual american and that is the argument that the philosophical and legal ergument that this whole affair was both immoral and illegal. Can you respond that that, Nr. Dulles?

## DULLIB!

Well, I think I'd respond to that by asking you a question. Here, you had a group of fine young men-these THE

WACK ODD, HOSSI EVEN

# DULLES CONTINUENT

Cabana—formed the brigade that went into Cuba, who asked nothing other than the epportunity to try to restore a free government in their country, who were ready to risk their lives and have done so, whether liver should have been told that they would get no sympathy, no support, no aid from the United States. That's the question—I just pose that question. I don't ask you to answer it, but I'll answer your question with another question.

# ICHARRYDI.

But, doesn't all this raise a rather profound question for this country, gaven its traditions and given the runking of war it has no went all around the world? For example, the matter of treaty commitments in this headle-phere, whereby we are bound not to intervene in the off-fairs of other countries. Isn't this a little like the domestic question where we give free rights to communicus who would like to use them, to subvert them. How do we prevent intervention on this bemisphere by others? We are not bound by those commitments.

Jane

MCA ODD, ROSSX BYIN

#### DULLES:

That, I think--- is a question one should face up to and one should answer and that is not only applicable in this bemisphore, it's applicable in many parts of the world. That's applicable maybe in a slightly different legal sense, but it's applicable also in Southeast Asia. It is applicable in---in Africa and other countries. Here, we face communism, Soviet Union abetted in the foreign field by Guina here and there, because they have their domestic problems and their ideological disputes, but they will have some problems abroad, but we must take them as a---as a cooperatings partnership inpofer as the foreign areas are concerned, quite largely subject to the fact they may have disputes before they divide up the partnership assets, or the partnership responsibilities, if you follow what I mean. Anyway, this aggregate of the communist world--they have this apparatus. They have their parties, particularly the Soviet Union ..... of them around the world and --- well, there are more than eight-one, but eight-one of them were represented at the last Party

1.22

MCK ODD, ROSSI EVEN

# DULLES CONTINUES:

Congress last October, including the American Communist Party and there they had an insidious element inside of eighty-odd countries financed in most cases, supported action directed by a foreign power, against the country where they are organized. Now, we have nothing comparable to that. It would be contrary to our whole thoory. You couldn't have a sort of a freedom brigads, or a freedom party, that was supported by whom --- it wouldn't be Washington, or London-would it be the H. A. T. O. perore? Anymay, you haven't got it--haven't get it today and tre're at a terrible disadvantage, because of those parties and they have with them all of their front organisations, or representatives of them. The World Federation of Trade Unions, which you know is communist-deminated. The Soviet Union telerates no labor unions. You can't strike in the Seviet Union. You can't organize in the Soviet Union, but they have externally the this very strong labor movement. It is the stronge single labor movement in France. It is dominated by the W. F. T. V. And Italy, is desinated by the W. P. T. V.

100

MACH CAD, ROBER RVEN

# DULLES CONTINUES

It is represented strongly in the other countries of Burope. Strongly in Indonesia, strongly in Japan, India and other countries. That's just one of the assets that the communist apparetus has. The communist .....local Cocaranist Party has and they have the world peace novement. They have all sorts of youth organizations. They're going to stage this great youth organizution in Finland. Why are they doing it in Finland? Well, it's part of their compaign against Finland. The tried it in Vienna and they got a bloody nose with it i Visena, because the Viseness didn't like any part of it I'm talking now about the this great youth festival that they have every couple of years, so that you have these communist parties, insidiously operating in each country, with these front erganisations to book them up with financing from Moscow and there is, in the world, nothing comparable to meet that. Now, in the United States, for various reasons, we've got this pretty well under control and there are certain legal steps being taken now, as you know. That's tree in many of the

KKL

ack odd, posst even

# DULLES CONTINUING:

other countries of the world, but there are a lot of countries where it is not true and it's still dengarous in some of the European countries. The communists are very strong in Italy, as you know, one of the strongest of the party.

# SEVARELD:

Mr. Dalles, they encourage the "better red than deed" state of mind in Vest Europe and ever here to seem degree. Have you seem any evidence in the communistable countries, of a "better red, white and blue than deed" movement?

#### DULLED:

(Laughter.) By the comminist countries, you mean the satellites and the Soviet Union itself and---

#### SKVAPHIDE

Yes.

#### DULLES:

Well, you do see, in these countries, here and there we I said before, groups that are groping for new and better things, that want nove freedom. - 421 -

RAIL

MACH OUD, ROSSI EVEN

# BEVARETD:

You don't see pacifist movements, do you?

#### DIKLES !

No, you don't see pasifist movements, although I think the Soviet people, from all I've heard, from all the tourists, the one thing they fear is war. I think, except for the fact that a pacifist movement's gotten a bad name, I think—by a pacifist movement you mean abborrance of war, I think it's pretty universal.

# SEVAREID:

A state of wishing no war-

#### DELLER:

but that doesn't mean—the pacifist movement means not only are you against war, but you're against defense against war and that's where the pacifist movement becomes so dangerous. I've seen no evidence in the Soviet Union, or heard—seen no reports indicating that there had been any sprikes, or sit-downs, or any missile factories, or bomber factories, or smything of that kind. You don't have anything of that sort.

when

- 435 -

THE

MACK CID, ROBSI EVEN

# SEVARATE:

Mr. Bullos, aren't we, when you came right down to it, in this country, aren't we involved in a considerable movel dilumn in turns of our traditions and our country which says in effect, "We shall do nothing immovel, even though it may lead to a defect" and the other side says, "Anything is movel as long as it may lead to a victory"? Den't that other side with that atabe of mind spt to win a great many nounds?

# DULLES:

You meen the .... the latter side going to win?

# SEVARIED:

The side for which any move is movel, if it leads to a main. Now do we adjust to this?

#### DULLES

Well, that, I answer you would say is the Soviet philosophy, but I think maybe instead of the word "movel," you'd put "is what is good or desirable." They costainly view any nove as desirable which over all westers the free world. Tou've hit, there, a point

\_ 44° -

TAL

wife city, rossi iven

# DULLES CONTINUING:

don't think it is immoral—is immoral for us, or for anybody to use covert methods to defeat the attempt of Soviet Union to subvert a free government, or a free country. Here, I'm not going into all the techniques you use and there are a great many attacks that have been under—make there, but to—to build up a resistance in a free country, by, let's say, training their—their police force, or by giving them certain types of wempons to meet communiat attempts to riet and in other ways.

Would you think it was immoral to send some tear gas down to prevent the communiate staging a riot in a country which might result in the overthrow of that government?

#### SEVAREID:

Now about the question about waging, at least in part, an undeclared war in Vietnam, as we seem to be doing to-day? Aren't no forced to these measures——this flexibility, regardless semetimes of our law or our sames of violence?

- 455 -

CAL

ACK ODD, ROSSI EVER

## BULLES:

Yes, and this war is being waged en-on South Vietnamene territory, which has been invaded as it were and in posses actually invaded by people from the hostile north. What I understand happened in part was they have best back to Southern Vietnam with military equipment and with military formations, a good many of the people that when they had the great exchange of populations, went from the south to morth. How, the number that went from the communist area in the north to the south was ten or twenty times the number that went the other way, but still there were quite a number whose brees were in the south, who went north. Whose homes were in the south, who went north. They're now being sent back. With others added to them.

# SEVARRID:

Well, speaking as a lawyer, are we at war in Southeas;

RDP70-00058R000100150020+2--

# DULLES:

Ch. 20. Ch, 20. Ke're not at war.

- 465 -

REEL.

MACK CEED, MOSSI EVEN

### REVAREID:

How do you describe our operation?

# DELLES:

Well, we are miding a friendly country at their request, to build up their forces to defeat a covert invasion of their country. Now, if we joined in an attack on North Viotnem, or on any other country, then your question wight arise, but at the present time, this is an invasion of South Vietnem. Covert, it is true, Secret, it is true, but necestheless dangerous.

### SEVAREID:

Mr. Dalles, I'd like to switch around, if I can, to name matters that concern the C. I. A.

# SKYAREXD:

Mr. Mallon, I wouldn't be performing my duties and functions here properly, if I didn't still ank you one or two more specific questions about Cabe. In it true, as charged, that we failed to inferm the Cuban underground in Cabe, of the time and place of that landing attempt?

- 475 -

REEL

MACK COD, ROSSI EVER

# DULLES :

Wrie, we didn't inform them. As I recall, we informed vary few people when we started the invasion of practice in June of '44. You can't (Buren) do that. We did not inform the Cuban underground of the time and place of the invasion. To do so would have been informing Castro and it would have been extratrophe for the in-vesting force.

# SEVARID:

DULLER:

Would it have been more of a catestrophe? Wouldn't they have been able to have been some assistance?

Not unless they had been notified long before, which is ebviously impossible and I doubt even so then, because them Control mould have been about to be and it is the control of the contr

then Castro would have been able to block all the lives of approach to the invasion area.

# SEVARKID:

We did achieve some element of susprise, did we?

Complete surprise was acheived as regards the place of landing, - 48e -

REMI.

MACK ODD, ROSSI EVEN

# SHVAREID:

But not timing, because that was published----

# DULLESI

ine, they did not know the exact timing. They had been crying invasion for weeks and months before and as you note, they're even now crying that invasions are being planned.

- 49 F -

RIEL.

MACK ODD, ROSSI EVEN

# SEVALED:

Its been said in one of these books shout the C.I.A., Mr. Dalles, that the C.I.A. people refused to put into effect the Presidential order to keep out all former Batista supporters from that expedition. What is the truth of that?

# DULLES:

Hell, it's not true at all Bris. It was an absolute rule that so Batistians were to be accepted. How, when the friendly Cohons who were doing the restricting, that is —become Cohons had to do that and we—those Cubans who did the restricting were mon of the highest caliber as far as we could call and also were men who were critical bostile to Batista. They were under the strictour injunctions that no one who was a partism of Batista in any way, shape, or menner, should be allowed in the group of recruits. How, it is true that some of those who were recruited had served in the Caban Army. After all, you are looking for caldiers and if a man had had some paler military training, he would be the type that the Caban selectors would mapurally have turned to and

- 50 F -

REEL

MACK COD, ROSSI EVEN

# DULLES CONTEMENT

in power, but after all, Batista got very little help from the army. One of the research for his complete and total and quick collapse was because the army described him and also any of these taken from the Army were from the lower echelons. Lieutements, not higher-high officers in the army under Batista, but those who had any favore from Batista, but merely those, who as young men, were serving their country just as the inductors and recruits we have in our army.

# STYARTID:

I thought that Castra had found and in fact, energied several people who had been high in Batista's favor, from the expedition?

#### DOMLES!

There was one same who was pointed out perticularly by Castro as a Mariatica, who infiltrated the tripular without saybody's knowledge. He was a member of the crew of one of the marchest ships and these Caban marchest ships had quite a number—martly Cabasa in

\* 51 F -

MAJA ODU, MOSSI KVES

3

#### DULLUS CONTINUEDY

jumped ship and without our impuledge, without the knowledge of these---the Caben leaders of the brigade, joined the brigade on the shore. Now, there may have been, in fifteen handred people---there's always a possibility you make one or two mistakes, a few adecess; but nobody was knowingly selected by the Caben selectors of the members of the brigade in favor of Batlets.

#### SEVARUID:

That is, the throny that C.I.A. people were so anadows to have enti-Communists that they didn't bother mach about their previous record---

### DULLES:

We bothered a great deal on that and the general guidelians that we'd been given were strictly followed.

### 'SEVARCIO:

Mr. Dalles, often the Cuban affair; there were presidented and requests indeed, that in the C.I.A. intelligence and operations be separated. What has happened in them exect

THE

ack odd. Rossi Even

# DULLES:

Well, there Eric, you're getting into realms with regard to the C.I.A. that even as a graduate I don't discuss in any detail. There was, as you recall--there was a committee set up by the President and General Her Taylor, with Robert Kennedy, the Attorney General, Arielgh Burke and myself, to look into all phases of the operation. We wat ever many works. We made to Comeral Taylor a series of reports, many of than oral, to the President. All of this has been reported in the past and there has been also a report than I von't dony that the conclusion was reached and it was unanimous, that this operation took on a character theto-posticulari in its latter phases, which exceeded the scope of the normal activities of the C.I.A. and I agreed with thete---I agreed with thet.

#### SUVAMEID:

You think the C.T.A. got too deeply into this affeit!

Well. I feel that operations of the C.I.A. should be of a character it they get into operations and that is up

- 53 F -

ASEL.

mach our, rossi ever

# DETLIES CONTINUED:

to the Executive --- if they get into operations. These operations should be of a nature that could be kept quiet and be of the concealed type.

#### SEVAREID:

Mr. Dalles, a semawhat personal quastion if I may. Tou're known in this city as a prodigious worker and so was your brother, the late Secretary of State and it was often said of him, "That he carried the State Department under his own hat." and I think on Commission several years ago said that they thought you had taken on they many duties in the C.I.A. Is that correct?

#### DULLES:

Which is correct, number one, or master two: Lat's.....

Did not the Moover Courission several years ago say you had taken on too with yourself?

#### DULLES:

The, I think there was such a report, but I think there was a slight misunderstanding which I tried later to that. Under the law, the Director of Central Intelligence is

~ 54 F -

REEL

MACK OUD, ROSSI EVEN

# MILLES CONTINUED:

responsible for all of the activities of the agency, just as the Secretary of Defense is responsible for all the activities of the Defense Department and the Secretary of State of the State Department, so I was responsible for such duties so vader law, or under a directive of the Mational Security Council was assigned to Me. Now, the idea that I tried to run all those things by myself. That is a fulse idea. I had an organization which I think one a good organization. I delegated a very large neasure of reoponsibility and I've also been accused of dalagating too much responsibility in the --in the Cuben empter, so that I don't think the charge was a correct cur. I bollow in working hard. I beldere in trying to busy on top of all the activities of the agency in the sense of knowing what is going on and convincing myself that the man who is in insediate charge of this operation is competent, doing a comparant job. I think that's good management and I tried to carry out those rules, but I delegated very widely to my subordinates and I had a group of subordinates of

3EEL

MACK OUD, RUSSI IVEN

# DULLES CONTINUED:

whose I was really proved. I think I had a right to be proved of them, but you've asked one---you inserted a double question there on ne---[LAUGHTER]

#### SEMARELD:

of these very programs shout a year age, Mr. Welter
Lippeann made this statement, "that Secretary of State
Dulles paid very little attention to the State Department
as such," because, said Mr. Lippeann, "he had his own
foreign service, manely, his brother's intelligence
operation." What would be your reaction to that?

#### IULLES:

quoter of letters, but I---I wouldn't agree with that.

I rarely known a man, who, before reaching an important decision consulted more fully than he did. I used to participate in a great many of the meetings he had, particularly on Saturday morning. He would generally do his work in his home on Saturday and on Saturday mornings—and I want always there by any means, but

Maria La

MICE ONL, ROSSI EVEN

# DULLES CONTINUED:

I was there a good many of these meetings. He would get together eight or han of his top people and go over in detail the -- the particular problems that were up, where it were Mass, on the Eisenhower Doctrine in regard to the Middle East, the off-shore islands--- the debates we had over those were numerous and in those neetings, everybody spoke their views with vigor and he liked to have around him men that weren't "yes men". He had no use for "yes men".

# SEVERNID:

Walk, a fewling had grown up in this city enong many paople, perhaps undeferred people, that he was not very keen to take advice from his subordinates. You're saying the opposite?

# MULAS:

I'm saying the opposite. I believe the opposite. I'm sure I'm right on it.

white the installant

# SEVERBLD:

Mr. Dulles, former Vius President Himm says in his book

- 57 R -

RYKI.

MACK OOD, ROSSI EVEN

# SEVARELD CONTINUED:

"That right after meeting Castro here, in April of '59, he felt and so advised C.Y.A., State Department and Whitehouse, that Castro was either very naivo, or under Communist discipline and that this must be work carafully watched." Was he should of others? What was your own feeling and the feeling in your agency at 1942 time?

# BULLES:

realize that Castro was a danger and he talked which constant that a good many those. I think we were all disturbed shout Castro from a very early date, particularly disturbed because of the kreesponeibility. Maturally, we studied the background of the man. He was supposed to have been and probably was at the E..... You recall the time---when in Columbia, Seorge Marchall and deem there and there was a very serious riot, promulgated probably by the Commentate. He was in that to some extent, according to all reports. He----I believe was a larger. I regret to say---

~ 58 F -

REELS.

MARK SOM, MOSSI EVIN

# SEVARETD:

Not a very---

#### DULLES:

--- and came of a family that did not indicate any Communis symmethias. However, his early life, I think, should have put us on potice, at least that we were faced and I believe we realized this, with a very irresponsible man who would seek to gain power through use of those individuals, movements --- whatever they might be, whice would arrengthen his position, including Commism. We must' renember bowseen, that at the time he came in, he had the everwhelming support of the Osban people, including a great unity people who could not by accessed of boring may Communist affiliations. Most of these people are now in this country and in exile in various other places. It's always difficult to have a better judgment of a geneloplan leader in a foreign country then the respected individuals in that own---in that country. Maybe we were show shout it. Certainly we got disturbed about Castro at a fairly early data, but what were you going to do about 127 Castro was in

Approved For Release 2003/12/02 CIA\_RDP70\_000=88000

BELL

MACK OUD, ROSSI RVER

# DULLES CONTINUED:

people and if we had planned any expedition at an carlia date, that might not have been of any more success than the one that we did situr rather mature preparations.

# SEVARELD:

Mr. Dallos, on this whole question of how we don't with Communists and Communist tattics around the world--suppose the line of---you might call passive defense were followed---that those who say we must not test, we must never do things like this attempt on Cobs., or fight in an undeclared way in Vietness---those [EUVI 18] who think by moral example we are going to be attempt and more effective. What would be the outcome?

# DULLES:

Disaster. I don't think you can meet this form of will by marely passive resistance. You recall walk the days of the thirties? What happened when Mither fact denounced the Treaty of Versalles? Withdrew from the disarrament conference? Invaded the Mhineland? Took.

ever Amstria? Invaded Cameboslovskia? A lot of chooks

- 60 F -

RETTL

MACK ODD, MOSSI EVEN

# DULLES COSTINUED:

happened to the world? Bo we went to repeat that sort of thing again? I don't think we do. I think you must ment aggression, open or covert and you must ment it whore it appears, whother in Berlin or Lass. Now, I don't mean that you have to mest aggression by sending American troops and by armed force and so forth. There are many other ways, because they are not acting through the use of open military forces of the Soviet Union and Communist China at this time.

#### SEVANATID:

in the regime of heavy areament. We all fear war. In there no way to get beyond this balance of power trainique for keeping the peace? Is there no way to make a brain in this discrepance stalement?

#### MILES:

I worked on the disarmental issue for many years Eric; beginning in 1925, I tended the conference on ........................ to restrict the traffic in arms and them 226, in Gracys

- 61 P -

REGL

HACK ODD, LOSSI EVEN

# DULLES COUTINDED:

at the United -- at the League of Nations, '28, the Three Power Meval Conference and then in the thirties, the General Disarresment Conference. I was at all will those meetings and we ram against --- sort of the basic issue, do you have to settle some of the grave political issues before you can have disarrament? That is, around a climate in which also flower grows and blossoms, or do you sattle political issues by getting disarmament? They never ediver that issue. They haven't solved it yet. Are the going to get disarmentat with the Soviet Union before we kind of decide on a basis on which we can three together, which means some kind of a political sattlement's Paramaily, I'm airnid that we do, but nevertheless, / recognize the need of exploring all the possibilities. When I was working on this, there was no muclear problem. There was no problem that civilization was going to---could be destroyed by a mistake by/reckless act. and now we have that grove insue and I think everything should be done to see if anything needs be accomplished, but I'm not one of the optimists, I regret to say.

- 62 F -

r.F.k.l.

mach one, bussi even

# DULLES CONTINUED:

May I just rell a little story in that connection? I --in Consyn, we were working on a theory. I've forgetten who inaugurated it, whether it was of American origin. or others, that you should define armements --- put armaments in two classes, offensive, defensive. And everybody would get rid of offensive armaments and only keep defensive agreements and then everything would be fine. So, we organized committees to deal with the mebiect and us had a committee for naval and ground and air types of armaments and there was a great harale. Those that bee subveriess said, "Submarines are the most defensive and those that didn't have then, said, ' Muswere the most offensive." and we didn't make very much progress, but I remarker on day --- I was a member of the sums, the ... Child. Commission and we were debuting the qualifications of theorets thet would be of an offernive or a defensive aburacter and somebody introduced a resolution to the general effect---mind you, this was back in '31 or 2 when eviation was still in its infancy end a resolution was adopted to the effect that all airc

Approved For Polosso 2002/12/02 - CIA PDD70 0008 0 D000

REGL

MACK ODD, ROSSI KVAR

# DULLES CONTINUED:

that exceeded a certain gross weight, that had a speed in excess of a certain number of kilometers and that had a cailing above fourteen thousand feet would be defensive, or offensive as the case may be. If they exceeded those limits, they're offensive. If they're under it, they're defensive. Well, we were debating there for some time and one of the delegates in the back of the room was trying to get the attention of the chairman and finally he was recognized and the chairmen seid, "The delegate from Boliva will address the meeting." And he said, "Nr. Chairman, I must protest against these qualifications that have been introduced here, because if you accept these limitations, my country can't have any exercist, because our only applied is shout 14,000 feat high." That orded that particular ---- well the whole thing was foolish and it was displayed so my experience in disarmement has been a discouraging one, but I think Bric, we've got to press on. Ve'va got to employe every possibility.

- 64F -

REEL

MACK ODD, ROSSY EVEN

SEVARET:

The Mussians---

-hopeless as it-

have a phobin about the country of clear country that always stopped the inspection proposals. Is there some possibility that this whole science of satellites and so on may enable us to leap over that; so that there could be inspection of every country, whether a country likes it or not?

# DULLEG:

It's perfectly true that the Soviets consider that their shility to maintain great names of security and security and security is considered by them as a major seset. It's like the value of a hundred bombers, at a hundred missiles, or mintover it may be. It is——it is just a concrete asset to their security. If they can within that area place missile sites (in?) these areas, because there are many of them——

EMO OF REELS (TAPE)